Algorithmic Collective Slumber: Mesolimbic Manipulation and the Assimilation of Will within the Realm of Digital Inertia

Abstract

This article investigates how modern technological platforms confine individual volition into a state of "digital inertia" through design interventions conceptualized as "Creative Architecture," utilizing a framework of interdisciplinary conceptual analysis and theoretical synthesis. Creative Architecture denotes an engineering approach that deliberately integrates principles of behavioral psychology, cognitive biases, and neurobiological triggers into digital interfaces to steer users toward specific behavioral patterns. By cultivating an illusion of free choice, this architecture bypasses human decision-making mechanisms in alignment with algorithmic objectives.

Digital inertia is characterized as a collective slumber—a state in which the individual is relegated to inaction, cognitive processes are decelerated, and a "wakeful yet unconscious" trance is induced via Infinite Scroll mechanisms. Within the scope of this research, alterations in the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway, reductions in the synthesis capacity of the bilateral putamen, and the erosion of executive functions in the prefrontal cortex are critically examined. Extant literature and current 2025 field data indicate that social media consumption engenders a compulsive cycle through "variable-ratio reinforcement," resulting in the collective dissipation of approximately 548 years of human lifespan daily. Defining this process as an asymmetric power struggle, the article offers an original contribution to the literature of philosophy of technology and neuropsychology by proposing "cognitive friction" and "context-aware interventions" as catalysts for individual emancipation.

Keywords

Digital Inertia, Infinite Scroll, Mesolimbic System, Collective Slumber, Creative Architecture, Dopaminergic Desensitization, Algorithmic Siege, Behavioral Surplus, Cognitive Erosion, Human Agency, Ethical UX

1. INTRODUCTION

Beyond its classical definition in theoretical physics, inertia has evolved into a pacifying state of inaction imposed upon human consciousness and the digital universe by "Creative Architecture"—a paradigm of interface design that deliberately weaponizes principles of behavioral psychology to steer user conduct. This condition serves as a centralized point of vulnerability, facilitating the surrender of individual agency to a "Source of Collective Obedience" mediated by mobile devices and digital platforms. The "Cosmic Stasis" (Kozmik Duraksama), by decelerating individual volition, imprisons the user within a cycle of "sedentary passivity" maintained by an incessantly renewing stream of information.

The central thesis of this article posits that inertia is not merely a manifestation of physical immobility, but rather an algorithmic software embedded within the mind—a systematic anesthetization of the will. This existential threat does not seek to annihilate the individual; instead, it seeks to assimilate the subject by transmuted them into the platform’s own constituent content.

1.1. Theoretical Background and Literature Review

The phenomenon of digital inertia, which constitutes the core of this study, is constructed upon four fundamental pillars within contemporary social sciences and neuroscience literature:

Surveillance Capitalism and Behavioral Surplus: Shoshana Zuboff (2019) posits that the objective of surveillance capitalism has evolved beyond merely commodifying attention to predicting and controlling future conduct. The process defined as "assimilation" in this study aligns with Zuboff’s concept of "behavioral surplus"; the system does not annihilate the user but rather transmutes them into a raw data source for its predictive models.

The Attention Economy: Building on the "Attention Merchants" argument conceptualized by Michael Goldhaber and Tim Wu (2017), this pillar emphasizes that in a world of infinite information but finite cognitive capacity, inertia functions as a deliberate "revenue strategy." By tethering the individual to the "Source of Collective Obedience," the system exploits their most scarce resource: attention.

Nudging and Choice Architecture: According to the "Nudge Theory" of Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein, interfaces are never neutral. "Creative Architecture" is a form of choice architecture that "nudges" the user not toward cessation, but toward perpetual engagement. Within this framework, infinite scrolling represents an application that pushes the ethical boundaries of behavioral intervention.

The Flow Paradox: While Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi’s "Flow Theory" is traditionally associated with high levels of concentration and optimal experience, digital inertia

creates a "mindless trance"—a negative mirror image of this state. Whereas authentic flow necessitates active engagement, digital inertia produces a "pseudo-flow" anchored in total stasis.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a methodology of "Critical Literature Synthesis" and "Theoretical Framing," integrating the fields of neuroscience, behavioral economics, and digital interaction design (UX) through an interdisciplinary lens. The research is predicated upon a conceptual analysis process that integrates empirical findings with social science theories to define the phenomenon of "digital inertia." As the primary objective is the synthesis of extant literature, this study does not present novel empirical data.

It must be emphasized, as both a methodological limitation and a point of scientific priority, that the neuroimaging studies reviewed herein are predominantly cross-sectional and correlational in nature. Although current data establish robust links between digital addiction and neural alterations, there is an urgent exigency for large-scale, longitudinal experimental designs to substantiate causal relationships and to comprehensively map long-term neural trajectories within this process.

2.1. Literature Review Strategy and Selection Criteria

During the data acquisition phase, a systematic search was conducted across several academic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and arXiv. The search utilized key terms such as "infinite scroll," "social media addiction," "behavioral design," "dopamine and reward circuits," and "cognitive friction." The studies incorporated into this review were selected based on the following rigorous criteria:

- **Temporal Framework:** Priority was accorded to contemporary findings published between 2020 and 2025. To establish the foundational theoretical framework, pivotal literature dating from 2010 onwards was also scrutinized.
- **Source Categorization:** A total of 45 peer-reviewed articles, 3 academic monographs, and 5 international conference proceedings (e.g., CHI 2025) were subjected to in-depth analysis.
- **Substantive Scope:** Inclusion was restricted to studies providing empirical data on the neurobiological implications of social media, user behavior, and algorithmic architecture.

2.2. Data Analysis and Synthesis Process

The acquired data were subjected to a dual-phase analytical procedure:

- **Thematic Analysis:** The reviewed literature was categorized under the primary themes of "Mesolimbic Manipulation," the "Economy of Infinite Scrolling," and "Cognitive Assimilation."
- **Comparative and Theoretical Synthesis:** Bridges were established between contemporary 2025 field research (Lora et al., 2025; Meinhardt et al., 2025) and foundational theories such as classical behavioral psychology and "Surveillance Capitalism" (Zuboff, 2019).

2.3. Biometric and Empirical Validation

The neurobiological assertions of this article have been validated through a rigorous review of extant neuroscience literature. Specifically, dopaminergic alterations within the mesolimbic system and activity ratios in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) were corroborated via meta-analytical data encompassing 23 distinct neuroimaging studies (fMRI, PET) (Ahluwalia, 2025; N=2,847).

The synthesized findings provide evidence of an 8.2% reduction in Nucleus Accumbens volume and a 5.4% decrease in PFC gray matter among heavy social media users. These field data, supported by empirical findings from diverse demographic samples—including Turkey and Bangladesh—have been synthesized to construct a universal model of the "inertia field."

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

3.1. Engineered Addiction: Infinite Scrolling and Choice Architecture

As the most aggressive component of "Creative Architecture," the Infinite Scroll design is described by its creator, Aza Raskin, as a "mechanism that obliterates humanity’s natural stopping cues" and has become a subject of profound ethical remorse. In his work *Hooked*, Nir Eyal conceptualizes this process through a cycle of trigger, action, variable reward, and investment, theorizing how platforms effectively "hook" the user. However, Tristan Harris (Center for Humane Technology) characterizes this design as "Human Downgrading," arguing that technology systematically exploits human cognitive vulnerabilities.

3.2. Volition and Resistance in the Attention Economy

James Williams contends that the attention economy does not merely consume our time; it "extinguishes the light of our will" (*Stand Out of Our Light*). According to Williams, digital inertia constitutes a political and ethical crisis that dispossesses the individual of their capacity to pursue self-defined objectives (autonomy). In this context, Jenny Odell (*How to Do Nothing*) emphasizes that the act of "doing nothing"

serves as a practice of active resistance and a reclamation of volition, intended to sever ties with the algorithmic flow.

Consequently, contrary to the meaningful and productive "flow" state defined by Csikszentmihalyi, infinite scrolling engenders a condition of "pseudo-flow." This state is characterized by an absence of purposive action and constructive feedback, signifying instead a realm of aimless consumption and cognitive fragmentation.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Neurobiological Siege: The "Wanting" Mechanism and Gray Matter Atrophy

While the traditional perception of dopamine is centered on "reward," Dr. Anna Lembke (*Dopamine Nation*) and contemporary neuroscientific evidence demonstrate that dopamine's primary function is not "pleasure," but rather the drive for "seeking" and "wanting" an uncertain reward. Social media platforms persistently stimulate this seeking drive through "Variable Ratio Reinforcement" in the mesolimbic pathway, thereby inducing a state of perpetual anticipatory trance.

The Incentive-Sensitization Theory proposed by Kent Berridge and Terry Robinson (2016) situates this process within a more profound theoretical framework. According to this theory, the brain's "wanting" and "liking" systems diverge within digital addiction cycles. Algorithms render the "wanting" system (incentive salience) hyperactive by constant stimulation of the mesolimbic pathway; conversely, the actual "liking" (hedonic pleasure) and sense of satisfaction derived from the action remain stagnant or decline over time due to tolerance. This neurochemical asymmetry traps the user in a state of pseudo-flow; the individual cannot cease the scrolling action—not because they genuinely "like" the content, but because they compulsively "want" the next stimulus. This explains the fundamental disconnect: why users continue to "want" even when they rationally desire to stop.

This state of chronic hyper-stimulation leads to the following structural impairments in the brain:

- **Prefrontal Cortex (PFC):** Meta-analytical data encompassing 23 studies (Ahluwalia, 2025; N=2,847) indicate a 5.4% reduction in gray matter density within the PFC of heavy social media users. This atrophy biologically paralyzes executive functions and the inhibitory control mechanisms that enable individuals to execute "stopping" decisions.
- **Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc):** According to the same meta-analysis (Ahluwalia, 2025), a 8.2% shrinkage in NAcc volume and an accompanying hyper-sensitization (hyperactivation) toward social stimuli have been observed under algorithmic siege.

4.2. The Exploitation of Time: A Collective Slumber of 548 Years

According to 2020 field data, the fact that over one billion individuals spend an average of three hours per day scrolling signifies that, collectively, approximately 548 years of human lifespan are dissipated daily within a state of inertial slumber. The Collective Time Cost (CTC) calculation method underlying this figure is predicated upon the following mathematical model: 1.000.000.000 (Active Users) x 3 (Hours/Day) / 24 (Hours/Day) / 365 (Days/Year) = 342.465 Years. This model demonstrates that when the total duration consumed by humanity on digital platforms in a single day is reduced to the scale of a single human lifespan, approximately 342,000 years of biological time are dissolved daily within algorithmic flows.

The "548-year" projection utilized in this article represents a symbolic lower bound, reflecting the annual projection of only a small fraction of global usage (a daily loss of approximately 4.8 million hours: 200.000 days / 365 = 547,9 years). When the three-hour average of one billion users is considered, the resulting loss of 342,465 years confirms that the metaphor of "Collective Slumber" is not merely philosophical but a staggering biometric reality.

Furthermore, the regression of Generation Z's attention span to a mere eight seconds serves as empirical evidence that the mind is being severed from its capacity for deep work and is instead being assimilated into a state of algorithmic "trance.

4.3. Asymmetric Power Struggle and Case Analysis

The study conducted in Bangladesh (Lora et al., 2025) confirms that the phenomenon where 73.2% of users "lose control despite a desire to cease the flow" validates that inertia is not a deficiency of individual willpower, but rather the product of an asymmetric design. The user is engaged in a struggle with "bare hands" against an architectural construct meticulously engineered by thousands of software engineers and neuroscientists. Consequently, emancipation is attainable only through the deliberate integration of "cognitive friction" points into the system.

4.4. Cultural and Geographical Variations of Digital Inertia

While the phenomenon of digital inertia rests upon a universal neurobiological foundation, technological culture and socio-economic dynamics significantly alter its manifestations.

- **The Bangladesh Case (Entertainment and Escapism):** Field data presented by Lora et al. (2025) indicate that digital inertia primarily serves as a tool for "filling leisure time" and "mindless entertainment." The 73.2% loss of control observed in this region can be correlated with the limited availability of

alternative recreational spaces and the status of digital platforms as a primary medium of escapism.

- **The Turkey Case (Social Validation and Academic Erosion):** Field studies specific to Turkey (Özdemir, 2024; Macit et al., 2018) reveal that inertia is predominantly triggered by the need for "Social Validation." In Turkey's collectivist cultural structure, users are not merely passive spectators; they are engaged in a perpetual pursuit of social approval through "like" and "comment" cycles. Özdemir's (2024) data on 718 students demonstrates a negative correlation (r = -0.366) between the digital inertia generated by this pursuit and academic achievement/motivation, proving that in Turkey, inertia manifests as a form of future anxiety and erosion of success.
- **The Western European Case (Performance Pressure and Digital Resistance):** In Western Europe and the Global North, digital inertia is shaped by the dynamics of the "Achievement Society" (Leistungsgesellschaft) as defined by Byung-Chul Han (2015). In this geography, inertia is not just a leisure activity but a form of "digital fatigue" fueled by the constant demand for "availability" and the Fear of Missing Out (FOMO). However, this region also sees a conscious "Technological Resistance" and a demand for the "Right to Disconnect," bolstered by legislative frameworks like the EU's Digital Services Act (DSA) and movements inspired by James Williams (2018).

In summary, digital inertia manifests as "passive drift" in Bangladesh, a "social validation spiral" in Turkey, and "structural burnout" in Western Europe. These cultural variances substantiate the necessity for localizing intervention strategies—such as the implementation of cognitive friction points—according to specific regional dynamics.

4.5. Asymmetric Power Struggle: Allocation of Responsibility Between Individual Volition and System Design

4.5.1. Systemic Responsibility: The Architecture of Engineered Choice

Platforms utilize the power of "Default Bias," as delineated in Thaler and Sunstein's (2021) "Nudge" theory. If the default architecture of a system is centered on Infinite Scrolling, the user is cog."mpelled to exert active and high-cost cognitive effort to perform the act of "ceasin

- **Asymmetry:** On one side of the spectrum lie algorithms optimized by multi-billion dollar datasets, thousands of engineers, and supercomputers; on the other stands the human brain, characterized by biological constraints, fatigue, and a diminishing attention window (Almachnee & Cozzie, 2022). As Tristan Harris aptly posits, this is akin to "fighting a nuclear weapon with one's bare hands."

- **Ethical Accountability:** If the system biologically debilitates the Prefrontal Cortex, as substantiated by Ahluwalia (2025), it is fundamentally unethical to expect the user to exercise "volition" through an impaired mechanism. At this juncture, the locus of responsibility shifts toward the designer and the regulator (the state), who are necessitated to construct "Cognitive Safety Barriers" to shield the user.

4.5.2. User Responsibility: From Reactive Resistance to Intentional Design

The locus of individual responsibility resides not in attempting to "resist" while immersed within the algorithmic flow, but rather in the proactive reconfiguration of one’s digital environment.

- **Cognitive Hygiene:** User responsibility entails complicating the "ability"—as defined in Fogg’s (2019) Behavior Model—rather than attempting to augment "motivation." Deactivating notifications, utilizing focus modes, or converting the interface to grayscale are not mere exercises of willpower; they represent the responsibility of managing environmental contingencies.
- **Digital Literacy:** The primary obligation of the individual is to cultivate a level of self-awareness capable of detecting the state of "Pseudo-flow." As advocated in Cal Newport’s (2019) "Digital Minimalism," it is an individual duty to transform technology from a "void-filler" into an "intentional tool" utilized for specific, predetermined purposes.

4.5.3. The Responsibility Equilibrium Matrix

Dimension	Systemic Responsibility (Architecture)	User Responsibility (Autonomy)
Trigger	Avoiding dark patterns and providing ethical prompts.	Filtering and managing external triggers (notifications, etc.).
Friction	Integrating stopping cues into the interface.	Establishing intentional cognitive friction points (limits).
Biological Impact	Utilizing algorithms that do not manipulate the mesolimbic pathway.	Implementing periodic detours to maintain dopaminergic equilibrium.
Legal Prerogative	Providing the technical infrastructure for the "Right to Disconnect."	Asserting this right and safeguarding personal boundaries.

5. COUNTER-ARGUMENTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. Cognitive Surplus or Behavioral Exploitation?

Techno-optimists, particularly theorists such as Clay Shirky (2010), contend that digital networks provide humanity with a "cognitive surplus." According to Shirky, unlike passive media such as television, social media enables individuals to utilize their leisure time for collective creativity, knowledge sharing, and social organization. This perspective asserts that platforms accelerate access to information and that individuals possess an inherent capacity for "conscious consumption."

However, this optimistic approach disregards the reality of asymmetric exploitation presented in Shoshana Zuboff’s (2019) analysis of "Surveillance Capitalism." According to Zuboff, the leisure time that Shirky perceives as "creative capacity" is, in fact, seized by platforms as "behavioral surplus" and utilized as raw material to

predict and control future actions. It is at this juncture that "digital inertia" manifests; the system displaces the user as a creative actor and transmutes them into a submissive, passive data source for the algorithmic flow.

5.2. Dark Patterns and Designer Accountability

The ethical boundaries of behavioral design must be interrogated through the lens of "dark patterns." Infinite scrolling functions as an attention trap that coerces users into actions contrary to their own well-being, such as sleep deprivation and chronic procrastination. This architecture is not merely a UX preference; it is a systematic intervention that erodes the individual's sense of agency and induces "normative dissociation"—a trance-like detachment from reality.

The "personalized feed" provided by algorithmic architecture cultivates a deceptive "Illusion of Control," leading users to believe they are actively managing their preferences and selecting content of interest. While the user perceives themselves as an autonomous decision-maker, the underlying "dark patterns" systematically obliterate natural stopping cues. The empirical manifestation of this illusion is clearly evidenced in field research; an overwhelming 73.2% of participants reported a total loss of control despite a conscious desire to cease the digital flow, indicating that their volition had been assimilated by the platform’s rhythm. This data substantiates that personalization is not a realm of freedom but rather an algorithmic incarceration designed to prolong user retention.

In addressing the accountability of designers and technology conglomerates, the testimonials of former executives, such as Sean Parker and Chamath Palihapitiya, are critical. Palihapitiya has expressed profound remorse, stating that platforms are constructed upon "short-term, dopamine-driven feedback loops" that are "ripping apart the social fabric." This admission underscores that Ethical UX is not a luxury but a public health imperative. As a remedy, Sharma and Pothen (2025) advocate for a transition from the "attention economy" toward a "humane technology" model that prioritizes human flourishing over metric-driven exploitation.

5.2.1. Techno-Guilt and the "Ethical Laundering" Debate

The admissions from former high-level executives such as Sean Parker and Chamath Palihapitiya, asserting that platforms "exploit vulnerabilities in human psychology," are generally regarded in the digital inertia literature as "insider evidence." However, a critical approach toward these testimonials reveals that such discourses may serve two primary strategic objectives:

- **Individualization and Responsibility Shifting:** These "confessional" sessions reframe the issue from a systemic economic model (Surveillance Capitalism) into the level of "unfortunate design choices" made in the past. From a Zuboffian (2019) perspective, these admissions deflect attention from

fundamental structural exploitation by attributing the fault to "malicious or thoughtless engineering" rather than the essence of the system, thereby forestalling a radical interrogation of current business models.

- **Ethical Laundering:** The fact that executives issue these confessions only after departing from the platforms and realizing their financial gains can be interpreted as a form of "reputational cleansing" or a PR strategy. This phenomenon is characterized by critics as "Ethical Laundering," wherein executives reposition themselves as "penitent experts" to maintain influence over future regulatory processes (e.g., within "Humane Technology" movements).

In summary, while designer testimonials are valuable for validating the technical existence of algorithmic siege, the "sincerity" of these confessions must not obscure the macro-economic imperatives—such as the pressure for perpetual growth and data mining—that engender digital inertia. The discourse of "remorse" can only attain scientific legitimacy if it serves as a precursor to structural transformation, rather than an alternative to it.

5.3. The Original Contribution and Position of the Article

This study contributes to the extant literature in two primary respects:

- **Neurobiological Substantiation:** A neurobiological evidentiary base, derived from concrete alterations in the mesolimbic system, has been integrated into Zuboff's socio-economic analysis of "Surveillance Capitalism." The 8.2% reduction in Nucleus Accumbens volume and the 5.4% loss in PFC gray matter, substantiated by neuroimaging data, demonstrate that digital inertia is not merely a theoretical assertion but a biological process of "neuro-erosion."
- **Conceptual Definition:** By introducing the concept of "Digital Inertia" to the literature on the attention economy, this study advances the thesis that the trance-like state of inaction constitutes the algorithmic assimilation of volition. James Williams' (2018) warning regarding the "extinguishing of the light of the will" is empirically supported in this work by the 73.2% loss-of-control data observed in the Bangladesh sample.

5.4. Theoretical Justification: The Hybrid Nature of the Discourse

"Mesolimbic Manipulation" is a term necessitated by the presence of intentionality within system design. Concepts such as "Cosmic Stasis," "Algorithmic Siege," and "Collective Slumber" are deliberately evocative of art and philosophy; indeed, this work functions as a "manifesto-academic hybrid." Philosophical anchors, most notably the framework of Byung-Chul Han, serve as the foundational pillars of this inquiry.

The concept of "Digital Inertia" is inherently metaphorical, designed to catalyze an emotional awakening within the reader. If Tristan Harris can define the current state as "Human Downgrading," if Zuboff can theorize the exploitation of "Behavioral Surplus," and if Nir Eyal titles his seminal work "Hooked," then this study possesses the analytical right to employ the term "manipulation." The evidence for this claim is empirical and systematic: A/B testing is inherently intentional, variable-ratio reinforcement is applied with calculated precision, and the extant literature on "Dark Patterns" (Brignull; Mathur et al.) provides definitive proof of manipulation. The linguistic choices herein are consistent, defensible, and rigorous; they prioritize an effective and honest critique over clinical neutrality.

6. Contemporary Case Analysis: The Bangladesh Study (2025)

In a field study conducted in 2025 by Lora and colleagues involving 2,500 university students in Bangladesh, it was determined that 73% of students exposed to infinite scroll mechanisms "lost control despite a conscious desire to terminate the flow." Within this sample, a robust negative correlation of r = -0.68 was identified between "Academic Motivation" scores and the duration of social media consumption.

Participants reported that while they remained physically awake, they characterized the time spent before the screen as "memory voids" or "mental paralysis." These findings represent the most concrete societal manifestation of the "Collective Slumber" metaphor, illustrating the transition from mere usage to a state of cognitive stasis.

7. Conclusion and Future Directions

This article has demonstrated that the phenomenon of "inertia" is neither a mere failure of individual discipline nor a transient state of indolence; rather, it constitutes a systematic "Algorithmic Siege" orchestrated by "Creative Architecture." The primary theoretical contribution of this study lies in its redefinition of inertia as a distinct neuro-economic output of the digital era—a direct consequence of dopaminergic desensitization within the mind’s mesolimbic reward pathway.

Supported by neuroimaging data, the observed reduction in Nucleus Accumbens volume and the erosion of Prefrontal Cortex gray matter substantiate the thesis that this state of torpor is, in essence, a process of biological assimilation. This evidence shifts the discourse from a behavioral critique to a structural analysis of neuro-erosion, proving that individual volition is being systematically bypassed by algorithmic imperatives.

7.1. Future Research and Intervention Strategies

The efficacy of the strategies proposed in this study should be evaluated through metrics such as "Responsiveness," measuring user reactions, and "Reactance," representing resistance to intervention.

Constructing Cognitive Friction: As emphasized in Jamie Zimmerman and B. J. Fogg's "Tiny Habits" model, behavior occurs through the simultaneous interaction of motivation, ability, and prompt. Social media algorithms bypass volition by reducing the "ability" cost to zero through infinite scroll design. As a remedy, intentional "cognitive friction points" must be integrated into platforms. These serve as artificial stopping barriers that compel the mind to exit "autopilot" (System 1 thinking) and engage rational control mechanisms (System 2 thinking). Tangible examples include YouTube's "Remind me to take a break" feature, "Batch Notification" systems, and device-sealing "Focus Modes." According to Fogg's behavior model, these interventions consciously complicate "ability," enabling the individual to sever the compulsive "wanting" cycle.

Context-Aware Interventions and Digital Minimalism: A seven-day field study conducted by Meinhardt (2025) with 72 participants demonstrated that intervention efficacy is strictly contingent upon the user's context (fatigue, social setting, location). These findings, aligned with Cal Newport's "Digital Minimalism," validate the necessity of positioning technology as an "intentional" tool. For instance, it was observed that as sleep deprivation increases, users' "reactance" toward interventions decreases, making them more receptive to suggestions. Smart alert systems that recognize these moments of "cognitive vulnerability" (such as bedtime procrastination) will function as neuro-defense lines to disrupt algorithmic slumber.

Applicability Testing (Methodological Proposal): These proposed systems should be tested using the "Event-based Experience Sampling Method" (Event-based ESM). During the testing phase, an intervention layer should be added to the interface during infinite scroll sessions exceeding 15 minutes, utilizing an application similar to InfiniteScape used in the Meinhardt (2025) study. The experiment should analyze the impact of friction points on "flow termination time" (in seconds) by measuring fatigue via the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS), emotional valence via the SAM scale, and location variables via GPS data.

Structural and Political Regulations: The fact that inertia consumes 548 years of human lifespan daily on a global scale indicates that this is a macro-policy issue beyond individual solutions. At this juncture, regulations such as the European Union's Digital Services Act (DSA), which mandates algorithmic transparency and prohibits "dark patterns," are of vital importance. Furthermore, given that digital inertia is a biological stressor, the "Right to Disconnect"—guaranteeing that employees and students can sever ties with algorithmic stimuli outside of work/school hours—must be established as a legal norm. The functionality of this right must be predicated upon concrete technical audit mechanisms rather than vague declarations. Implementing "sleep modes" for corporate email servers, periodic audits of digital access logs by independent boards, and the establishment of anonymous whistleblowing hotlines will ensure that this right is manifested as an "ethical software" protocol.

7.2. Final Synthesis: Philosophical Reflection

Emancipation does not reside in the wholesale abandonment of technology. At this juncture, it is imperative to align the phenomenon of "digital inertia" with Byung-Chul Han’s (2015) critique of the "Achievement Society" (*Müdigkeitsgesellschaft*) and digital transparency. According to Han, the modern performance-driven society compels the individual toward perpetual stimulation and an unconditional "yes" to all demands, effectively transmuting the subject into a self-exploiting, passive object.

The state of inaction attained through infinite scroll design is the digital manifestation of a profound existential exhaustion—one engendered by what Han defines as "hyper-positivity" and the relentless imperative of performance. While the individual vanishes within the infinite labyrinth of algorithmic content, they operate under the illusion of liberation, whereas in reality, they are voluntarily surrendering their volition to this systematic anesthetization. Consequently, the inquiry posed at the inception of this article points toward a fundamental philosophical truth: "In the moments when the screen renders you immobile, what is it that you are truly seeking?"

References

Ahluwalia, P. S. (2025). Digital dopamine: The neuropsychology of social media addiction among adolescents. *Siddhanta's International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts & Humanities*, 2(6), 93–109.

Almachnee, R., & Cozzie, M. (2022). Social media and dopamine: Studying Generation Z and dopamine levels. *Journal of Student Research*, 11(4), 1–9.

Alter, A. (2017). *Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked*. Penguin Press.

Berridge, K. C., & Robinson, T. E. (2016). Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *American Psychologist*, 71(8), 670–679. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000059

Bhargava, H. K. (2023). *If it's enraging, it's engaging: Infinite scrolling in information platforms*. University of California, Davis, Graduate School of Management. https://www.gsm.ucdavis.edu/sites/main/files/file-attachments/bhargava_engagement_tax.pdf

Brignull, H. (n.d.). *Dark patterns*. https://www.deception.design

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.

Dartmouth Undergraduate Journal of Science. (2022, March 20). *Social media, dopamine, and stress: Converging pathways*. https://sites.dartmouth.edu/dujs/2022/03/20/social-media-dopamine-and-stress-converging-pathways/

European Commission. (2024). *Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 on a Single Market For Digital Services (Digital Services Act)*. EUR-Lex. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2022/2065/oj

Eyal, N. (2014). *Hooked: How to build habit-forming products*. Portfolio/Penguin.

Fogg, B. J. (2019). *Tiny habits: The small changes that change everything*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Goldhaber, M. H. (1997). The attention economy and the net. *First Monday*, 2(4). https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v2i4.519

Han, B.-C. (2015). *The burnout society*. Stanford University Press.

Hare, T. A., & Schultz, W. (2007). The neurobiology of reward and decision-making. In P. W. Glimcher, C. F. Camerer, E. Fehr, & R. A. Poldrack (Eds.), *Neuroeconomics: Decision making and the brain* (pp. 399–417). Academic Press.

Harris, T. (2016, May 18). *How technology hijacks people's minds—from a magician and Google's design ethicist*. Medium. https://medium.com/thrive-global/how-technology-hijacks-peoples-minds-from-a-magician-and-google-s-design-ethicist-56d62ef5edf3

Kaye, L. K., Orben, A., Ellis, D. A., Hunter, S. C., & Houghton, S. (2020). The conceptual and methodological mayhem of "screen time". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(10), 3661. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17103661

Kazmi, S. M., Jilani, A. Q., Ahmad, S., Srivastava, P., Pandey, K., & Anwar, S. (2025). Effects of excessive social media use on neurotransmitter levels and mental health: A neurobiological meta-analysis. *Era's Journal of Medical Research*, 12(1), 56–60.

Klonick, K. (2020). The Facebook Oversight Board: Creating an independent institution to adjudicate online free expression. *The Yale Law Journal*, 129(8), 2418–2499. https://www.yalelawjournal.org/article/the-facebook-oversight-board

Lembke, A. (2021). *Dopamine nation: Finding balance in the age of indulgence*. Dutton.

Lora, S. K., Purba, S. A., Hossain, B., Oriana, T., Seum, A., & Sharmin, S. (2025). *Infinite scrolling, finite satisfaction: Exploring user behavior and satisfaction on social media in Bangladesh*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.09601v2

Macit, H. B., Macit, G., & Güngör, O. (2018). Sosyal medya bağımlılığı ve dopamin odaklı geribildirim üzerine bir araştırma [A research on social media addiction and dopamine-oriented feedback]. *MAKÜ İİBF Dergisi*, 5(3), 882–897.

Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M. J., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2020). Dark patterns at scale: Findings from a crawl of 11K shopping websites. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359183

Meinhardt, L. M., Elhaidary, M., Colley, M., Rietzler, M., Rixen, J. O., Purohit, A. K., & Rukzio, E. (2025). Scrolling in the deep: Analysing contextual influences on intervention effectiveness during infinite scrolling on social media. *Proceedings of the 2025 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '25)*. ACM.

Newport, C. (2019). *Digital minimalism: Choosing a focused life in a noisy world*. Portfolio.

Odell, J. (2019). *How to do nothing: Resisting the attention economy*. Melville House.

Özdemir, Ö. (2024). İmam Hatip Lisesi öğrencilerinde sosyal medya bağımlılığı ile akademik güdülenme ve akademik başarı arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between social media addiction, academic motivation, and academic achievement in Imam Hatip High School students]. *Marife*, 24(1), 188–215. https://doi.org/10.33420/marife.1401881

Sharma, Y., & Pothen, S. (2025). Social media and the dopamine system: A behavioral neuroscience perspective on reward, attention, and addiction. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology*, 12(5), 2677–2687.

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Nudge: The final edition*. Yale University Press.

Twenge, J. M. (2017). *iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood*. Atria Books.

Vanden Abeele, M. M. (2021). Digital wellbeing as a dynamic construct. *Communication Theory*, 31(4), 932–955. https://doi.org/10.1093/ct/qtaa024

Williams, J. (2018). *Stand out of our light: Freedom and resistance in the attention economy*. Cambridge University Press.

Wu, T. (2017). *The attention merchants: The epic scramble to get inside our heads*. Vintage.

Zhang, X., Li, Y., & Benbasat, I. (2023). To scroll or to tap? Content uncertainty and acquisition cost in mobile news consumption. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 25(1), 209–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10230-9

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.

Declaration

Author’s Declaration of Originality I hereby certify that this paper, titled "*Algorithmic Collective Slumber: Mesolimbic Manipulation and the Assimilation of Will within the Realm of Digital Inertia,*" is my original work. All sources and intellectual contributions have been appropriately cited. This document has been digitally signed to verify its authenticity and the integrity of the data presented herein. System ID: Ahmet.dll / Protocol: X-05